

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/797,417	03/10/2004	Robert W. Hjelmeland	DP-310378	4132	
	7590 08/15/2007 HMIELEWSKI	EXAMINER			
STEFAN V. CHMIELEWSKI DELPHI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			DANIELSEN, NATHAN ANDREW		
Legal Staff Ma P.O. Box 9005	il Code: CT10C		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2627		
Kokomo, IN 46	5904-9005				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/15/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/797,417		Art Unit 2627 Application Public Application Public Application Public I/A. if an agreement was reed would render the class SUBSTANCE OF been filed, APPLIC DAYS FROM THE WHICHEVER IS Lacord of Interview	ROBERT	w.		
interview Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Nathan Danielsen		2627				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>Nathan Danielsen (PTO)</u> .	(3) Marcus Dolce	•					
(2) <u>William Korzuch (PTO)</u> .	(4)				i		
Date of Interview: <u>09 August 2007</u> .							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:							
Claim(s) discussed: <u>15,17-20,23,24,26 and 27</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Mukawa (US Patent 5,799,006)</u> , <u>Okamoto (JP Patent Application Publication 01-171144)</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPRESED IN THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPRESED INTERPRESED INTERPRESED INTERPRESED IN THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPRESED INTERPRESED IN THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPRESED INTERPRESED IN THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPRESED INTE	last Office action ha OF ONE MONTH O ERVIEW SUMMARY	as already R THIRTY Y FORM, \	been filed, APP DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT I HIS LATER,			
	WAY SUPERVISOR	(NE YOUN BY PATENT	IG EXAMMER				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	F	norlo al	atura if manufact				
Attachment to a signed Office action.	Exami	ners signa	ature, if required		j		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative asked for clarification with respect to how the combination is not a substitution of elements but rather a combination of elements. The examiner explained that element 11 in the figures of Okamoto having a diameter larger than that of the top portion of element 104 in figures 3-7 of Mukawa could be placed on top of Mukawa's element 104 such that both elements will rotate with the rotation of the disc, thus causing air to circulate within the optical disc device.